

Estimating Production Functions with Heterogeneous Firms

Amit Gandhi, Salvador Navarro, and David Rivers

University of Wisconsin-Madison

May 16, 2008

The Key Assumption and What We Do

The Key Assumption and What We Do

- ▶ Production function estimation as a tool for productivity analysis

The Key Assumption and What We Do

- ▶ Production function estimation as a tool for productivity analysis
- ▶ e.g., Estimate a production function that explains the input/output behavior of a sample of firms. Use residuals as productivity measures for applied work.

The Key Assumption and What We Do

- ▶ Production function estimation as a tool for productivity analysis
- ▶ e.g., Estimate a production function that explains the input/output behavior of a sample of firms. Use residuals as productivity measures for applied work.
- ▶ We present a “new” semiparametric approach to estimation that allows for richer patterns of firm heterogeneity than prevailing approaches of Olley&Pakes (1996) and Levinsohn&Petrin (2002). Focus only on wage and output price heterogeneity today.

The Problem

▶ $y_{jt} = \alpha l_{jt} + \beta k_{jt} + \omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt}$

The Problem

- ▶ $y_{jt} = \alpha l_{jt} + \beta k_{jt} + \omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt}$
- ▶ ω_{jt} is endogenous

The Problem

- ▶ $y_{jt} = \alpha l_{jt} + \beta k_{jt} + \omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt}$
- ▶ ω_{jt} is endogenous
- ▶ Fixed effects impose $\omega_{jt} = \omega_j$
- ▶ IV requires good instruments
- ▶ The semiparametric approach initiated by OP and pursued further by LP - use a model of firm behavior.

The Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ (ω_{jt}, k_{jt}) is firm j 's time t state.
- ▶ Firm j makes a time t static input decision $m_{jt} = f_t(\omega_{jt}, k_{jt})$ or dynamic input decision $i_{jt} = g_t(\omega_{jt}, k_{jt})$.
- ▶ Take inverse of input demand function

$$\omega_{jt} = \phi_t(k_{jt}, m_{jt})$$

- ▶ Control for endogeneity nonparametrically

$$y_{jt} = \alpha l_{jt} + \Phi_t(m_{jt}, k_{jt}) + \epsilon_{jt}$$

Evolution of TFP and β

- ▶ First order Markov assumption

$$\omega_{jt} = g(\omega_{jt-1}) + \eta_{jt}$$

- ▶ Time to build assumption

$$K_{jt} = d(K_{jt-1}, I_{jt-1})$$

- ▶ k_{jt} is decided at time $t - 1$ and η_{jt} independent of all $t - 1$ information implies

$$\eta_{jt} \perp k_{jt}$$

- ▶ Use this moment to estimate β

Relaxing the Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ Basic problem is that ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter symmetrically into the production function, i.e., $(\omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt})$.

Relaxing the Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ Basic problem is that ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter symmetrically into the production function, i.e., $(\omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt})$.
- ▶ However from the firm's point of view, ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter asymmetrically into the profit maximization problem. Lets exploit this fact.

Relaxing the Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ Basic problem is that ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter symmetrically into the production function, i.e., $(\omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt})$.
- ▶ However from the firm's point of view, ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter asymmetrically into the profit maximization problem. Lets exploit this fact.
- ▶ Use the first order condition for the firm's static input decision (i.e., labor input decision)

Relaxing the Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ Basic problem is that ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter symmetrically into the production function, i.e., $(\omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt})$.
- ▶ However from the firm's point of view, ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter asymmetrically into the profit maximization problem. Lets exploit this fact.
- ▶ Use the first order condition for the firm's static input decision (i.e., labor input decision)
- ▶ Use FOC + production function jointly to invert out ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} as functions of parameters, i.e., $\omega_{jt}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\epsilon_{jt}(\alpha, \beta)$

Relaxing the Scalar Unobservability Assumption

- ▶ Basic problem is that ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter symmetrically into the production function, i.e., $(\omega_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt})$.
- ▶ However from the firm's point of view, ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} enter asymmetrically into the profit maximization problem. Lets exploit this fact.
- ▶ Use the first order condition for the firm's static input decision (i.e., labor input decision)
- ▶ Use FOC + production function jointly to invert out ω_{jt} and ϵ_{jt} as functions of parameters, i.e., $\omega_{jt}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\epsilon_{jt}(\alpha, \beta)$
- ▶ Use same moment conditions OP and LP to estimate model

Cobb-Douglas Example

Let the state be $(\omega_{jt}, k_{jt}, P_{jt}, W_{jt})$

Then we have the system

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \left(\frac{P_{jt} Y_{jt}}{W_{jt} L_{jt}} \right) &= -\ln(\alpha) + \varepsilon_{jt} \\ y_{jt} &= \alpha l_{jt} + \beta k_{jt} + \omega_{jt} + \varepsilon_{jt}\end{aligned}$$

Cobb-Douglas Example

Let the state be $(\omega_{jt}, k_{jt}, P_{jt}, W_{jt})$

Then we have the system

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \left(\frac{P_{jt} Y_{jt}}{W_{jt} L_{jt}} \right) &= -\ln(\alpha) + \varepsilon_{jt} \\ y_{jt} &= \alpha l_{jt} + \beta k_{jt} + \omega_{jt} + \varepsilon_{jt}\end{aligned}$$

More generally

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_{jt} \\ y_{jt} \end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon(x_{jt}, \omega_{jt}, \varepsilon_{jt})$$

Application to Chilean Data

Plant level Chilean manufacturing panel data from 1979-1996
Same data set used by Levinsohn and Petrin

Application to Chilean Data

Plant level Chilean manufacturing panel data from 1979-1996
Same data set used by Levinsohn and Petrin

Table: Industry 311

Method	Labor	95% CI	Capital	95% CI
OLS	.953	.932, .947	.400	.389, .411
LP	.647	.595, .700	.399	.292, .505
GNR	.414	.402, .425	.362	.274, .391

Robustness Check : The CES

$$Q_{jt} = A_{jt} \left(\alpha L_{jt}^{\rho} + \beta K_{jt}^{\rho} \right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}}$$

For $\alpha + \beta = 1, r > 0, \rho < 1$

Table: Industry 311

	Estimate	SE
ρ	-.51	.06
α	.14	.06
β	.86	.19
r	.69	.06

Robustness Check : The CES

$$Q_{jt} = A_{jt} \left(\alpha L_{jt}^{\rho} + \beta K_{jt}^{\rho} \right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}}$$

For $\alpha + \beta = 1, r > 0, \rho < 1$

Table: Industry 311

	Estimate	SE
ρ	-.51	.06
α	.14	.06
β	.86	.19
r	.69	.06

Average Labor Elasticity = .45 (SE=.02)

Average Capital Elasticity = .24 (SE=.02)

The Diewert Production Function

$$Q_{jt} = A_{jt} \left(\alpha L_{jt}^{\rho} + \beta K_{jt}^{\rho} + \gamma L_{jt}^{0.5\rho} K_{jt}^{0.5\rho} \right)^{\frac{r}{\rho}}$$

For $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, $r > 0$, $\rho < 1$

Table: Industry 311

	Estimate	SE
ρ	-1.11	.26
α	.01	.02
β	.85	.12
γ	.14	.10
r	.70	.05

Average Labor Elasticity = .45 (SE=.02)

Average Capital Elasticity = .25 (SE=.01)

Further Applications

- ▶ We show how to nonparametrically identify and estimate the distribution of α in a Cobb-Douglas setting with panel data

Further Applications

- ▶ We show how to nonparametrically identify and estimate the distribution of α in a Cobb-Douglas setting with panel data
- ▶ We can easily allow for more general assumptions on TFP evolution - i.e., higher order Markov assumptions or controlled Markov process assumptions

Further Applications

- ▶ We show how to nonparametrically identify and estimate the distribution of α in a Cobb-Douglas setting with panel data
- ▶ We can easily allow for more general assumptions on TFP evolution - i.e., higher order Markov assumptions or controlled Markov process assumptions
- ▶ Multiple dimensions of unobserved heterogeneity appear prevalent in data